TABLE OF CONTENTS

SESSION 1 Fundamentals of Medical Forensic Law and Medical Negligence: Setting the Context			
1	M Varalakshmi, <i>Jurisprudence Of Forensic Science Evolution Practice And Future</i> , Ilkogretim Online - Elementary Education Online, 2021; Vol 20 (Issue 1): pp. 3582-3589, Available at - http://ilkogretim-online.org	01	
2	M.P. Ram Mohan and Vishakha Raj, <i>Medical Negligence and Law- Application of the Bolam and the Bolitho Rules in India</i> , LIV (42) Economicand Political Weekly 45-52 (2019)	09	
3	Lady Justice Arden, Law of Medicine and the Individual: Current Issues – What Does Patient Autonomy Mean for the Courts? (Justice KT Desai Memorial Lecture 2017)	17	
4	Duhan, R. (2016), FORENSIC MEDICINE AND INDIAN CRIMINAL LAWS - A STUDY OF RELEVANCY WITH LEGAL PROVISIONS, Innovare Journal of Medical Sciences, 4(2),1–5. Available at https://innovareacademics.in/journals/index.php/ijms/article/view/7179	54	
5	Max M. Houck and Jay A. Siegel, Jay A. Siegel, Fundamentals of Forensic Science 4 (Elsevier, Oxford UK, 2nd edn. 2011)	59	
6	Mittal, S., Mittal, S., & Mittal, M.S. (2007), Evolution of Forensic Medicine in India. Journal of Indian Academy of Forensic Medicine, 29, 89-91	96	
7	Case Law: Dr. Harish Kumar Khurana vs Joginder Singh and Others (2021) 10 SCC 291 Maharaja Agrasen Hospital v. Rishabh Sharma (2020) 6 SCC 501	99 114 161 169 176 188 196* 245 262* 303* 398 459 487 509* 577 612 652 662	
	✓ John Oni Akerele versus The King AIR1943PC72* * Full text judgement available only in the soft copy	698	
	SESSION 2 Identifying Challenges & Culling Out Best Practices		
1	Guidelines & Protocols Medico-legal care for survivors/victims of Sexual Violence, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India, (2014)	723	

2	Guidelines for Forensic Medical Examination in Sexual Assault cases, Directorate of	
	Forensic Science Services, Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India (2018)	704
3	Guidelines for collection, storage and transportation of Crime Scene Biological samples	
	for Investigating Officers, Directorate of Forensic Science Services, Ministry of Home	
	Affairs, Government of India	823
4	The UK Forensic Science Regulator (2020), Cognitive Bias Effects Relevant to Forensic	0.70
	Science Examinations, FSR-G-217, Issue 2	850
5	The UK Forensic Science Regulator (2020), DNA Mixture Interpretation, FSR-G-222,	0.40
	Issue 3	940
6	The UK Forensic Science Regulator (2020), Code of Practice and Conduct Friction	1004
_	Ridge Detail (Fingermark) Visualization and Imaging, FSR-C-127 Issue 2	1004
7	US DOJ guidelines on Uniform Language for Testimony & Reports on Forensic Latent	1022
0	Print Examination.	1032
8	Case Law: (1035-1077)	1025
	A. EXPERT OPINION The nature & role of a Expert and his opinion:	1035
	✓ State of H.P. v. Jai Lal, (1999) 7 SCC 280	
	- Situte 0J 11.1 . V. Jul Lui, (1777) / SCC 200	
	Duty of an Expert & Nature of His/Her Opinion	
	✓ Pattu Rajan v. State of T.N., (2019) 4 SCC 771	
	1 and Rajan v. State of 1.11., (2017) + Sec 1/1	
	When an Expert Opinion is justified or called for; The "Test"?	
	✓ Ramesh Chandra Agrawal v. Regency Hospital Ltd., (2009) 9 SCC 709	
	Procedural difference between "Inquest" u/s 174 CrPC and "Investigation" u/s 154	
	& 157 CrPC; & Determining MLC when & why?	
	✓ Divisional Manager, United India Insurance Co. Ltd. v. Reshama, 2020 SCC	
	OnLine Kar 3364	
	"Eye-witness" versus "Medical Testimony": Caution & Best Practices:	
	✓ Mohanlal v. State, 1960 SCC OnLine Raj 54	
	"Post-Mortem Report" in conflict with "Eye-witness Report" which to be	
	preferred? ✓ Dayal Singh v. State of Uttaranchal, (2012) 8 SCC 263	
	V Dayai Singn v. State of Uπaranchai, (2012) 8 SCC 203	
	Expant's aninian should be Demonstrative and Supported by Convincing Deceans	
	Expert's opinion should be Demonstrative and Supported by Convincing Reasons, inadequate, cryptic & generalized expert opinion has no value	
	✓ Machindra v. Sajjan Galfa Rankhamb, (2017) 13 SCC 491	
	19 Machinara V. Suffan Guifa Rankhamo, (2017) 13 Sec 451	
	B. DNA TECHNOLOGY	1043
	About DNA as a Technology; as a Forensic Tool; Accuracy as a Scientific	
	Technology; Reliance on DNA Report; and it's Probative Value	
	✓ Mukesh v. State (NCT of Delhi), (2017) 6 SCC 1	
	DNA report is "scientifically accurate and an exact science", & court cannot	
	substitute its own opinion for that of an expert	
	✓ Santosh Kumar Singh v. State, (2010) 9 SCC 747	
	Regarding Variance in Results of DNA Tests & its Impact on Reliability	
	✓ Anil v. State of Maharashtra, (2014) 4 SCC 69	
ĺ		

Whether the DNA report can be the sole basis and conclusive evidence of the	
paternity of the child (foetus) or guilt of the accused for rape, in absence of any other evidence?	
✓ Premjibhai Bachubhai Khasiya v. State of Gujarat, 2009 SCC OnLine Guj 12076	
Prosecution Reluctance on DNA Report, Section(s) 53A & 164A CrPC versus Reliance on Marvel of Scientific Development	
✓ Rajendra Pralhadrao Wasnik v. State of Maharashtra, 2018 SCC OnLine SC 2799	
Reliability of The Novel "Superimposition Technology" for Investication & its	
Comparative Preference to DNA Test ✓ Pattu Rajan v. State of T.N., (2019) 4 SCC 771	1051
C. FINGER PRINTS Importance of SOP for Reliance on the Validity of "Finger Print" as Admissible	
Evidence ✓ <i>Hari Om v. State of U.P.</i> , (2021) 4 SCC 345	
"Finger Print" is not a "Substantive" but only a "Corroborative" Evidence	
✓ Hari Om v. State of U.P., (2021) 4 SCC 345	
 ✓ Musheer Khan v. State of M.P., (2010) 2 SCC 748 ✓ Hukam Singh v. State of Rajasthan, (1977) 2 SCC 99 	
Standards in Determining the Points of Similarities between a Disputed and Specimen Fingerprint	
✓ State of Madhya Pradesh v. Sitaram Rajput, 1977 SCC OnLine MP 77	1056
<u>D. HAND WRITING</u> Experts Opinion on "Hand writing" is not a "Substantive" but only a "Corroborative" Evidence:	
 ✓ Shashi Kumar Banerjee v. Subodh Kumar Banerjee, AIR 1964 SC 529 ✓ Fakhruddin v. State of Madhya Pradesh, AIR 1967 SC 1326 ✓ Chennadi Jalapathi Reddy v. Baddam Pratapa Reddy, (2019) 14 SCC 220 	
The Science of Identification of Handwriting was not Nearly As Perfect As Fingerprints:	
✓ Murari Lal v. State of Madhya Pradesh, (1980) 1 SCC 704	1060
E. FOOTPRINT & FOOTWEAR ANALYSIS	
Science of Identification of Footprints is Rudimentary Science and Limited	
Reliance Could Be Placed on the Result of such Identification:	
 ✓ Pritam Singh v. State of Punjab, AIR 1956 SC 415 ✓ Shankaria v. State of Rajasthan, (1978) 3 SCC 435 	
✓ Mohd. Aman v. State of Rajasthan, (1978) 10 SCC 44	
✓ Gopal Sharma v. State of Rajasthan, 2016 SCC OnLine Raj	
Whether an Accrued can be Compelling to Provide his Fingerprints or Footprints,	
etc. viz a viz violation of Article 20(3) of the Constitution of India:	
✓ State of U.P. v. Sunil, (2017) 14 SCC 516	
✓ State of Bombay v. Kathi Kalu Oghad, (1962) 3 SCR 10 : AIR 1961 SC 1808	
✓ Selvi v. State of Karnataka, (2010) 7 SCC 263	

	F. DIGITAL EVIDENCE Suo moto order or Direction by a Court to Share Passwords, Passcodes, Biometrics:	1063
	Sub moto order of Direction by a Court to Share Passwords, Passcodes, Diometrics:	
	✓ Virendra Khanna v. State of Karnataka, 2021 SCC OnLine Kar 5032	
	Whether the investigating agency can retain the user name and password of social media/digital platform like Facebook and YouTube pending investigation? ✓ Rakesh Shetty v. State of Karnataka, 2020 SCC OnLine Kar 4638	
	SESSION 3 Liabilities and Obligations in Transplantation of Human Organs: Scope & Limits	S
1	Thyagarajan I, Kanvinde H, Shroff S, Sahi MK. Organ donation and the medicolegal aspects: A process analysis study of the Indian states -Observational study. Indian J Transplant 2022; 16:184-8	1080
2	Vivek Kute et al, Deceased-Donor Organ Transplantation in India: Current Status, Challenges, and Solutions, 2 Experimental and Clinical Transplantation 31-42 (2020)	1085
3	Sahay M., Transplantation of human organs and tissues act. Indian J Transplant 2020; 14:188	1097
4	Shroff S. Twenty-five years of transplantation law in India – Progress and the way forward. Indian J Transplant 2019; 13:151-3	1104
5	Alex A, Shroff S, Paul VB, Navin S, Ramesh P, Michael J, et al. Did an increase in knowledge and awareness about organ donation improve organ donation rate in India over the past two decades? Indian J Transplant 2019; 13:173-8	1107
6	Shah SB, Shah BV. Legal aspects of transplantation in India. Indian J Transplant 2018; 12:169-73	1113
7	Adithyan GS, Mariappan M. Factors that determine deceased organ transplantation in India. Indian J Transplant 2017; 11:26-30	1118
8	Dr. Anju Vali Tikoo, Transplantation of Human Organs - The Indian Scenario, 1 ILI Law Review 147-174 (2017)	1123
9	WHO Guiding Principles On Human Cell, Tissue And Organ Transplantation World Health Organization (2010)	1151
10	Case Law: ✓ Jeewan Kumar Raut and Another v C.B.I (2009) 7 SCC 526	1160
	✓ Kuldeep Singh v. State of Tamil Nadu (2005) 11 SCC 122	1175
	✓ Moideenkuty and others v. The District Level Authorisation Committee For Transplantation Of Human Organs, GMC Kozhikode, 2021 SCC OnLine Ker 4315: (2021) 6 KLT 592: (2022) 1 KLJ 118	1182
	✓ Radhakrishna Pillai v. The District Level Authorisation Committee For Transplantation Of Human Organs, Ernakulam 2021 SCC OnLine Ker 3499: AIR 2021 Ker 206: (2021) 6 KLT 408	1188
	✓ Shambhu Nath and another v. State of Bihar and others AIR 2021 Pat 118 ✓ Anshita Bansal v. Secretary Ministry of Health and Family Welfare & others,	1195

	2020 SCC OnLine Del 494	1215
	✓ Mohamed Abdul Nassar v. State of Kerala, 2018 SCC OnLine Ker 2273 ✓ Ganpatrao and others v. State of Maharashtra and others,	1219
	2017 SCC OnLine Bom 9419	1221
	 ✓ Moideen v. State of Kerala and others, 2017 SCC OnLine Ker 21219 ✓ Kunhi Mohammed and others v. The District Level Authorization Committee 	1230
	For Transplantation Of Human Organs, Thrissur, 2017 SCC OnLine Ker 652.	1237
	✓ Arup Kumar Das and Anr v. State of Orissa and Ors, 2010 SCC OnLine Ori 181	1240
	✓ Mukesh Gandhi v. Deputy Secretary (Health) Medical Education & Research	1240
	2008 SCC OnLine Guj 133 ✓ Dr Shyam Sundar Prasad v. State of Bihar (now Jharkhand), 2006 SCC OnLine	1247
	Jhar 822 ✓ Smt. R. Shailaia and Another v. State of Karnataka, by the Secretary,	1253
	Department of Health and Another, ILR (2005) Kar 953 ✓ Nagendra Mohan Patnaik and Ors v. The Government of AP Health, Medical	1259
	and Family Welfare Department, Hyd. and Ors. 1996 SCC OnLine AP 847	1267
	✓ Hart V. Brown, 29 Conn.Supp. 368	1279
	SESSION 4	
	Application of Judicial Mind to Evaluate Forensic Evidence: Judge as a Gate- Keep	er
1	Moa Lidén, Itiel E. Dror, Expert, Reliability in Legal Proceedings: "Eeny, Meeny, Miny,	
	Moe, With Which Expert Should We Go?", Science & Justice, Volume 61, Issue 1,2021,	
	Pages37-46, ISSN,1355 0306,	
	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scijus.2020.09.006.(https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/art	1285
_	icle/pii/S1355030620302835)	
2	Ling, Shichun & Kaplan, Jacob & Berryessa, Colleen. (2020), The Importance of	
	Forensic Evidence on Decisions of Criminal Guilt, Science & Justice. 10.1016/j.scijus.2020.11.004	1205
_	7 7	1295
3	Michael J. Saks and Jonathan J. Koehler, <i>The Individualization Fallacy in Forensic</i>	
	Science Evidence, 61 Vanderbilt Law Review 199 (2019), Available at: https://scholarship.law.vanderbilt.edu/vlr/vol61/iss1/4	1202
_		1303
4	Justice Chris Maxwell, Preventing miscarriages of justice: The reliability of forensic	
	evidence and the role of the trial judge as gatekeeper, (2019) 93 ALJ 642	1326
5	Canela C, Buadze A, Dube A, Jackowski C, Pude I, Nellen R, Signorini P and Liebrenz	
	M (2019), How Do Legal Experts Cope With Medical Reports and Forensic Evidence? The Experiences, Perceptions, and Narratives of Swiss Judges and Other Legal Experts.	
	Front. Psychiatry 10:18.doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2019.00018	1225
		1335
6	Koehler, J. J. (2018). <i>How trial judges should think about forensic science evidence</i> . Judicature, 102, 28-38. Northwestern Public Law Research Paper No. 18-07	1240
7	Ashutosh Sharma, Sensitivity of phenolphthalein and benzidine tests in field of Forensic	1348
′	Sciences, International Journal of Research Culture Society, ISSN: 2456-6683 Volume	
	- 1, Issue - 4, June – 2017	1250
Q	O'BrienE', Nic Daeid N, Black S. 2015, Science in the court: pitfalls, challenges and	1359
8	solutions. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B370: 20150062. Available at:	
	http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2015.0062	1366
		しさわわ
0	, ,	1300
9	Jonathan J. Koehler, <i>FORENSIC FALLACIES AND A FAMOUS JUDGE</i> , Jurimetrics, SPRING 2014, Vol. 54, No. 3 (SPRING 2014), pp. 211-219	1373

10	Koehler, Jonathan and Saks, Michael J., "INDIVIDUALIZATION CLAIMS IN FORENSIC SCIENCE: STILL UNWARRANTED" (2010). Faculty Working Papers. Paper 27. http://scholarlycommons.law.northwestern.edu/facultyworkingpapers/27	1383
11	Caldwell P., Courting the expert: a clash of culture? Br J Haematol. 2005 Jun; 129(6):730-3. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2141.2005.05464.x. PMID: 15952998	1400
12	Bennett L. Gershman, <i>Misuse of Scientific Evidence by Prosecutors</i> , 28 Okla. City U. L. Rev. 17 (2003), Available at: http://digitalcommons.pace.edu/lawfaculty/125/	1404
13	Hal S. Stern, Maria Cuellar and David Kaye, <i>Reliability and validity of forensic science evidence</i> , April 2019, The Royal Statistical Society, Available at : significancemagazine.com.	1430
14	C.E. Pratap (2020), EVALUATING THE SCIENTIFIC VALIDITY OF FORENSIC EVIDENCE IN CRIMINAL TRIALS: ISSUES AND CHALLENGES	1434
15	Case Law: ✓ Pattu Rajan v. State of Tamil.Nadu (2019) 4 SCC 771	1444 1466* 1776* 1973 1990 2022* 2029* 2095 2108
	SESSION 5 Impact of Technology on Forensic Evidence	
1	Establishing best practice for forensic DNA database-A report by the Forensic Genetics Policy Initiative: Available at: http://dnapolicyinitiative.org/report/	2117
2	Forensic DNA analysis: a primer for courts, Issued: November 2017 DES4928 Royal Society and the Royal Society of Edinburgh	2228
3	John M. Butler (June 2021), NIST Scientific Foundation Review on DNA Mixture Interpretation, National Institute of Standards and Technology Internal Report 8351-DRAFT 68	2288
4	Sense about Science & EUROFORGEN (2017), MAKING SENSE OF FORENSIC GENETICS- What can DNA tell you about a crime, Available at: http://senseaboutscience.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/01/Making-Sense-of-Forensic-Genetics.pdf	2538
5	Kimmy Gustafson, MODERN FORENSIC SCIENCE TECHNOLOGIES , Available at: https://www.forensicscolleges.com/blog/resources/10-modern-forensic-science-technologies .	2559